

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/650,144 | 08/26/2003 | Michael Andrew de Rooij | 131968 7087 | | |
| 7590 04/01/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| General Electric Company | | | ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON | | |
| CRD Patent Docket Rm 4A59 P.O. Box 8, Bldg. K-1 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Schenectady, NY 12301 | | | 3742 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application N | o. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/650,144 | | DE ROOIJ ET AL. | W | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | Daniel I. Robi | nson | 3742 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | | |
| A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he ation. rys, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will exp | owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from th | ly filed will be considered timely. The mailing date of this comm | nunication. | | | | | |
| Any | re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | by statute, cause the application the mailing date of this community | n to become ABANDONED nication, even if timely filed, a | (35 U.S.C. § 133). may reduce any | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n <u>26 August 2003</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | oxtimes This action is non-f | inal. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| , — | The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection | ☐ accepted or b)☐ on to the drawing(s) be he | eld in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | 1 121/d) | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| а) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for | cuments have been re cuments have been re he priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 17 | ceived. ceived in Application have been received 7.2(a)). | n No d in this National Sta | age | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- | 4) [-948) | Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat | e | | | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date | , | Notice of Informal Pa Other: | tent Application (PTO-15 | 52) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a dual coil induction cooking system, classified in class219, subclass 620.
- II. Claims 12-16, drawn to a dual coil heating system, classified in class 219, subclass 626.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a dual coil induction cooking system with two series resonant circuits, classified in class 219, subclass 624.
- IV. Claims 18-20, drawn to a method of coupling power to a load, classified in class219, subclass 626.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions all Groups I-III and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be practiced with series or parallel circuits.

Art Unit: 3742

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A parallel circuitry

Species B series circuitry

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3742

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Jean Testa on 3-28-2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANIEL **ROBINS**° HIMARY EXAMI

dlr